UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,301	04/20/2004	Michael B. Zemel	31894-202099	2573
26694 VENABLE L	7590 09/19/2007 L.P		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34385			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
WASHINGI	ON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/827,301	ZEMEL ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Edward J. Webman	1616
Dariad fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
Period fo		VIO OFT TO EVOIDE AND	ONTUKO) OD TUBETY (OO) DAYO
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 J	<u>uly 2007</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	,	•
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		•
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er'	
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		ov the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)
	☐ All b)☐-Some * c)☐ None of:	i priority under do o.c.o. 3	1 10(a) (a) 01 (i).
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		oplication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been i	received in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
. * (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.
		•	
Attachmen	nt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	· -	ummary (PTO-413)
3) 🔀 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>\$/4/07,7/2/07</u> .		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 10/827,301

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Metz et al (AJH 1:58-60 1988).

Metz et al teach a reduction in body fat content in rats consuming higher diets of calcium (abstract). A 2% calcium diet for 11 weeks is disclosed (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to formulate a high calcium diet for an animal to achieve the beneficial effect of a reduction in body fat content in view of the Metz et al results.

As to the claimed reduced risk of diabetes, it is well known, even to the layman, that obesity increases the risk of diabetes, and, therefore, a method of reducing weight will reduce that risk. One of ordinary skill would further recognize that mammals in general are subject to this risk.

As to the claimed dairy product, one of ordinary skill, even the layman, recognizes that milk is a source of dietary calcium. Thus, it would be an obvious expedient to formulate milk as the vehicle for a high calcium diet. As to the claimed caloric restriction, such would be an obvious expedient to incur a further decrease in body weight (abstract).

Applicants argue that Metz et al requires sodium. However, applicants do not exclude sodium. Applicants argue unexpected results in view of the universal

Application/Control Number: 10/827,301

Art Unit: 1616

recognition of dairy's role as food for young mammals tp gain weight. However, applicants claim a restricted diet. In the context of a restricted diet, laymen recognize the effectiveness of skim milk, also a dairy product, for reducing weight gain.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-10, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schroeder et al.

Schroeder et al teach a solid animal feed supplement containing calcium. It is poured into packages (abstract). Containers are specified (column 2 line 15). The proper proportion of fat to limit free choice feeding and over-consumption is disclosed (column 6 lines 54-57). Ruminants are specified (column 1 lines 50-54). 0.5-5% calcium is disclosed (claim 1). As to the claimed pet food, such is merely an intended use. As to the claimed accompanying plan and description, such also constitute an intended use. An intended use is not considered a patentable limitation during prosecution of composition claims before the USPTO.

Applicants argue that Schroeder et al teach away from the claimed invention because the reference teaches molasses and/or fat for weight gain, whereas applicants' plan and description concern restricting caloric intake. However, applicants' plan and

Application/Control Number: 10/827,301

Art Unit: 1616

description are merely an intended use. Applicants do not exclude ingredients such as molasses in their claims.

No claims allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

·

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ELNVARD Y. WESMAN PRIDMATY EXMANNER CROSS 1500